

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/915,382	07/27/2001	Ilkka Niva	367.40363X00	8873
20457	7590 11/19/2004		EXAM	INER
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			AGHDAM, FRESHTEH N	
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			2631	

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/915,382	NIVA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Freshteh N. Aghdam	2631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH a, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	ulv 2001.					
	s action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 July 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	ts have been received. ts have been received in App	olication No				
application from the International Burea	·	-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/27/01</u> . 6) ☐ Other:						

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In figure 3, the cited received signal 31 in the specification (Pg. 6, Lines 25-30) is cited 301.

In figure 3, the cited finger position information 311-313 in the specification (Pg. 8, Lines 14-15) is cited 311-314.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In the specification of the application (Pg. 7, Line 1), the matched filter 303 according to figure 3 is cited matched filter 400.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 2-8, 10, and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Since the cited claims are dependent claims depending on claims 1 or 9 the letter "A" should be replaced by "The".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Application/Control Number: 09/915,382

Art Unit: 2631

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-7, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 10 recite the limitation "the time difference" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over La Rosa (US Patent 6,078,611).

As to claims 1 and 9, La Rosa teaches a rake receiver having at least two fingers, which receives, samples, jointly tracks, and determines the delay between a signal and at least one of its delayed versions (Fig. 2; Fig. 5; Col. 4, Lines 3-12; Col. 5, Lines 16-19; and Col. 6, Lines 15-21; Col. 7, Lines 44-50). Furthermore, the delay between the received signal and its delayed version is compared to a predetermined threshold (Fig. 3, Block 314) and if the delay is less than the predetermined threshold (Fig. 3, Block 316) then the signal and its delayed version are tracked jointly (Fig. 3,

Block 316) using the time tracking circuit according to figure 2 which adjusts the time tracking method for both the signal and its delayed version (Fig. 3; Col. 6, Lines 35-47). La Rosa, however, doesn't specifically teach if the delay is less than the threshold to track only the delayed version of the signal. However, La Rosa teaches tracking both fingers jointly based on the result of threshold detection. One skilled in the art would clearly recognize to use the teaching of La Rosa in order to track one finger based on the result of the threshold. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time that the invention was made to apply the teaching of La Rosa to control the position of two adjacent fingers in order to prevent convergence of two or more fingers about a common time position (Abstract).

As to claim 8, La Rosa discloses detecting the delay between the signal and its delayed version by determining the correlation between the received signals and a predefined PN code (Fig. 1; Col. 4, Lines 25-27).

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over La Rosa as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Murai (US Patent 6,154,487).

As to claim 2, La Rosa discloses all of the subject matters claimed above (Fig. 8, Block 830; early or late time adjustment) except for the details of tracking methods used to track the fingers. Murai discloses in the same field of endeavor a tracking method, which tracks 0.5 chip before the proper timing (i.e. on time; Fig. 20; Col. 7, Lines 3-5). From teaching of Murai, one skilled in the art would clearly recognize that the first tracking method tracks the rising edge. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

Application/Control Number: 09/915,382 Page 5

Art Unit: 2631

ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Murai with La Rosa to track the signal and its delayed version based on the disclosure of Murai on early time adjustment in order to adjust a phase offset of the PN code.

As to claim 3, La Rosa discloses all of the subject matters claimed above (Fig.8, Block 830; early or late time adjustment) except for the details of tracking methods used to track the fingers. Murai discloses in the same field of endeavor a tracking method, which tracks 0.5 chip away from the proper timing (i.e. on time; Fig. 20; Col. 7, Lines 3-5). From teaching of Murai, one skilled in the art would clearly recognize that the second tracking method tracks the falling edge. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Murai with La Rosa to track the signal and its delayed version based on the disclosure of Murai on late time adjustment in order to adjust a phase offset of the PN code.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-7, 10, and 11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and rewritten to overcome any objections.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/915,382 Page 6

Art Unit: 2631

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Freshteh N. Aghdam whose telephone number is (571) 272-6037. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 10:00-6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Freshteh Aghdam

November 1, 2004

MOHAMMED GHAYOUR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**